

GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA

ABSTRACT

Public Services – I&CAD Dept. – Allegations of irregularities in Flood / Cyclone damage works taken up on nomination basis in the Irrigation Dept., during 2007 in Warangal Dist. - Disciplinary proceedings initiated against Sri U. Govind Rao, I/C E.E – Inquiry conducted – Charge held as not proved - Further action dropped – Orders – Issued.

IRRIGATION & C.A.D. (Vig. II-1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 212

Dated: 15-10-2014

Read the following:

1. From the Director General (Vig. & Enft.) & E.O. Prl. Secy. to Govt., G.A.D. Vigilance Report No.78(637/V&E/E1/2007), Dt. 13.06.2008.
2. G.O.Rt.No.169 I&CAD(Ser. VII(V&E)A1) Dept. dt.24-02-2011.
3. From Sri U. Govind Rao, EE WSD Dt. 15-04-2011
4. G.O.Rt.No. 803,I&CAD(Ser.VII(V&E)A1) Dept., dt. 02-08-2011.
5. From Sri J. Sathyanand, Chief Engineer(Projects) Irrigation, LMD Colony, Karimnagar Lr.No. CE/Prpj.Irrgn/KRMR/116, dt. 15-01-2013
6. Govt. Memo No. 18940/SerVII(V&E)A1/2008-15, dt.13-03-2013.
7. From Sri U. Govind Rao, EE Explanation Dt. 27-04-2013.
8. Govt. Memo No. 18940/SerVII(V&E)A1/2008-16, dt.01-03-2014.
9. From the Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation, Hyderabad Lr.No. DCE-II/OT1/SO3/Wgl/FDR/V&E/2007, dt. 29-05-2014.

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, it has been brought to the notice of the Government that Sri U. Govind Rao, I/C EE and (14) other engineers are found responsible for the irregularities in Flood / Cyclone damage works taken up on nomination basis in the Irrigation Dept., during 2007 in Warangal Dist.

2. After examining the matter, it has been decided to initiate departmental action against Sri U. Govind Rao, I/C EE and (14) other engineers, for the irregularities noticed in Flood / Cyclone damage works taken up on nomination basis in the Irrigation Dept., during 2007 in Warangal Dist. Accordingly, in the references 2nd read above, disciplinary action has been initiated against Sri U. Govind Rao, I/C EE and (14) other engineers by way of issuing Articles of charges against them duly inviting their written statements of defence.

3. In the reference 3rd read above, Sri U. Govind Rao, I/C EE has filed his written statements of defence along with copies of evidences in support of his claim with a request to drop further action against him.

4. After examining the written statements of defence of all the charged officers, it has been decided to conduct an inquiry under Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991. Accordingly, in the reference 4th read above, Sri J. Sathyanand, Chief Engineer(Projects) Irrigation, LMD Colony, Karimnagar has been appointed as Inquiry Officer to conduct a detailed inquiry into the charges framed against Sri U. Govind Rao, I/C EE and (14) other charged officers.

5. In the reference 5th read above, the inquiry Officer submitted his inquiry report stating that the charge framed against Sri U. Govind Rao, EE is held as not proved.

::2::

6. After examining the findings of the Inquiry Officer, it has been decided to deviate with the findings of the Inquiry officer. Accordingly in the reference 6th read above, while communicating a copy of the inquiry report along with the following disagreement factors, Sri U. Govind Rao, I/C EE has been directed to submit his explanation, if any on the disagreement factors of the Government with the findings of the Inquiry Officer.

“The charge against Sri U. Govind Rao relates to his incumbency as Deputy Executive Engineer and Executive Engineer (A/c). As rightly pointed out by the Inquiry Authority the Deputy Executive Engineer has to check measure the measurements recorded by the AEE/AE . As such the Charged Officer should have check measured the excess measurements recorded by the AEE/AE. Further, as Executive Engineer the Charged Officer is required to check measure all the works costing Rs. 50,000 and more as per para 297 of APW ‘A’ code. Hence the Charged Officer ought to have check measured the bills with excess measurements as Executive Engineer as the cost of the works are more than 50,000. Hence the findings of the Inquiry Officer are not acceptable.

7. In the reference 7th read above, the charged officer has submitted his explanation on the above disagreement factors of the Government with the findings of the Inquiry Officer with a request to drop further action against him.

8. After examination of the explanation of the charged officer in reference 8th read above, the Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation, Hyderabad has been directed to furnish his report on the recovery of amount as per the recommendation of the DG(V&E).

9. In the reference 9th read above, the Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation, Hyderabad has submitted his report stating that there are no deficiencies in execution of the works.

10. After taking into consideration of the explanation of Sri U. Govin Rao, I/C EE on the disagreement factors of the Govt. with the findings of the Inquiry Officer and the report submitted by the Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation, Hyderabad thereon, it has been decided to drop further action against Sri U. Govind Rao, I/C EE in respect of the charge relating to the irregularities in Flood / Cyclone damage works taken up on nomination basis in the Irrigation Dept., during 2007 in Warangal Dist. Accordingly, Govt. hereby drop further action against Sri U. Govind Rao, I/C EE as the charge framed against him held as not proved.

11. The I&CAD(Ser.II) Department/Engineer-in-Chief (AW) , I&CAD Department, Hyderabad shall take further necessary action in the matter accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF TELANGANA)

**SHAILENDRA KUMAR JOSHI
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To

The Individual through the Engineer- in -Chief (Admn.Wing), I&CAD Department, Hyderabad.

The Engineer- in -Chief (Admn.Wing), I&CAD Department, Hyderabad.

The I&CAD (Ser.II) Department

::3::

Copy to:

The General Administration (V&E) Department.
The Secretary to VC, VC, Hyderabad.
SC/SF

//FORWARDED : BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER